It says in Section 15.022, the definition of grievance, that it includes a view or opinion pertaining to employment conditions. You see where it says that on the first line there? Let me quote it completely: "A grievance is a complaint, a view or opinion pertaining to employment conditions, to relationships between employees and supervisors, and to relationships with other employees."

You see where it says that? I think it's on the first page.

MR. MCKOON: Let me find it for you,
Bubba. Looks like it's missing out of this book.

- A. I don't have it.
- Q. Sorry.

- MR. MCKOON: Look at mine. Definition of a grievance.
- A. Okay. Would you start again, please?
- Q. You have it in front of you now apparently. It says, in Section 15.022, "a grievance is a complaint, a view, or opinion pertaining to employment conditions, to relationships between employees and supervisors, and to relationships with other employees."

You see where it says that?

A. I do.

- Q. Do you concur with that, that that's the definition of grievance or complaint?
 - A. Yes, sir, as stated in the merit system.
- Q. Okay. Does this really apply to individual employee grievances or complaints? Like, for example, a firefighter doesn't like his salary or benefits or hours of work. Does it focus on the individual having a complaint?
- A. I look at this as a two-way street. It can be either individual or group or any group can have the same grievance with a spokesman coming or an individual, either/or.
- Q. Now, at the end of Exhibit 3 is the fire department ASOP-12. ASOP-12 is the fire department's standard operating procedure concerning addressing city council. You are familiar with this document, correct?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. Says in paragraph three, "if a problem cannot be solved by anyone in the chain of command, then the city manager will arrange a hearing with the city council."
 - Do you see where it says that?
 - A. I do.

- Q. Have you, in fact, ever arranged a hearing with the city council concerning a city employee wanting to address the council?
 - A. Not -- no, sir, I have not.
- Q. Okay. So in your six-plus years, you have not done that?
 - A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. What is your understanding of what is meant by you, as city manager, will arrange a hearing with the city council. Would that be you, yourself, doing the hearing or airing the concern?
- A. No, sir. It would be with the individual or the group.
 - Q. But you say you've never done that?
 - A. Not to my knowledge. Not that I remember.
- Q. Mr. Roberts, as a city manager, do you believe or feel that a city employee like a firefighter, speaking as a citizen and while off duty and out of uniform, has the right to address the city council?
- A. On matters other than pertaining to the fire department or to the police department or issues within the work force, yes, sir, I do.
- Q. So a firefighter could go directly to the city council while off duty and as a citizen and

speak to the city council in an open public meeting about issues that affect the fire department?

- A. Not about issues that affect the fire department, sir.
- Q. Okay. Then I'm sorry. I didn't understand your earlier response. So that's out of bounds? A firefighter cannot go to the city council, as a citizen off duty, and speak about issues that affect the fire department?
- A. That is my understanding, sir, of the merit system and the SOPs.
- Q. And when you say the merit system, those are the regulations and the ASOP-12 that would preclude that from happening?
 - A. Yes, sir.

1-4

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Let me ask you, you're familiar with how citizens, I guess, get on the agenda for the city council to speak with the council, correct?
 - A. I am.
 - Q. And how does that process work?
- A. There's an application that's filled out in the city clerk's office. It has to be by a deadline, which was Thursday prior to the meeting on the following Monday. And they're put on the agenda with the content of what they're to talk to the

council about, sir. 1 Okay. So if I understand what you just 2 said, an individual citizen would fill out a form 3 requesting permission to address the council and 4 5 submit it ahead of time? A. Yes, sir. 6 7 0. Let me invite your attention to Exhibit 37. This appears to be one of those request 8 9 This one, as an example, happens to be from 1.0 an individual named Martha Harris, and it's dated November 9, 2006. And apparently she has made a 11 request to address the council. And this memo was 12 sent to Walter Grabon -- Grabon? 13 14 MR. MCKOON: I'm just trying to shorten --15 I think you've got that wrong. It's Mr. Grabon that's making the request. That's just a fax 16 cover sheet I think you're looking at there. 17 1.8 Martha Harris is the city clerk. MR. WOODLEY: Okay. 19 MR. MCKOON: If you look at the second 2.0 21 page, I think it will clarify. I'm not trying 22 to interrupt. MR. WOODLEY: I appreciate that 23 clarification. 24

25

So apparently the individual Walter Grabon

wanted to address the city council, and that is clearer, I think, on the second page of this exhibit. Is that your understanding of how the process works?

- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And sometimes are these requests to address the city council screened out and not allowed, if you know?
 - A. We screen.
 - Q. Do you do it yourself?
- A. I do.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

- Q. All requests to address --
- A. Not all requests, no.
- Q. Does the city clerk screen all of them?
- A. She does.
- Q. Okay. And have you, on occasion, not permitted a request to address the council?
- A. We have on occasion, when the request would be made late, after the deadline. Not on one that has been made prior to the deadline.
- Q. Do you know of any situation where any city employee has submitted a request in one of these forms to address the city council?
 - A. Not to my knowledge, no, sir.
 - Q. Okay. And what is the kind of session

conducted by the city council where they listen to 1 comments made by citizens? 2 A . This is a work session. 3 And is that usually held the day before the 4 5 public meeting? A. It is. 6 7 0. Is it held at night? Yes, sir, it is. 8 Okay. Let me invite your attention to 9 10 Exhibit 38. This is a collection of examples, I believe, of agendas for the work session of the city 11 council. Would you agree with that? 12 Α. I would. 13 And this would be the occasion, the work 14 session where citizens would address the council; is 15 that correct? 16 That is correct. Д. 17 Do citizens ever address the council at 0. 18 their formal meetings on Tuesday nights? 19 Α. Very seldom. 20 21 0. Why do you say that? It's handled in the work agenda. 22 A. In the work session? 0. 23 That's the wishes of the council. A. 24 So the formal meetings of the city council 25 0.

1 are more business of the council as opposed to 2 entertaining comments? 3 Α. Yes, sir. But what about the public hearing that's 4 5 conducted on the budget? Is that more of an open meeting where individuals can come forward and 6 7 comment on a proposed budget? Yes, sir, it is. 8 A. 9 MR. MCKOON: To be clear, the work session 10 is an open meeting. Just want to let you know that. 11 12 MR. WOODLEY: Thank you. Do the police officers here in the city 13 have any kind of labor organization or union? 14 Fraternal Order of Police. 15 16 But as far as you know, none of the representatives of the police labor organization 17 18 have addressed the city council about police issues? 19 A. Not since I have been here, no, sir. 0. 20 How about before you were here? Are you aware of that? 21 22 A . No, sir. 23 0. Now, let's focus on the proposed city 24 ordinance which was eventually adopted by the city

council, which is -- I'm afraid I only have one

copy, but I'll be glad to share it with both you and 1 2 Mr. McKoon. MR. MCKOON: Hold on a minute. I've got it 3 somewhere. I'll give him one. You talking 4 about that one? 5 Well, I can actually talk about that. 6 Let's talk about that, which I've got in here as 7 exhibit --8 MR. MCKOON: I'm sorry. I thought that's 9 the one you were going to --10 MR. WOODLEY: That's all right. 11 12 Q. Exhibit 34, Mr. Roberts, is a document produced by the city in this case which appears to 13 be an ordinance number 78-24 adopted back in 1978. 14 And as I understand it, this is where the city 15 council adopted all of the merit system rules and 16 17 regulations. Is that your understanding as well? This is the very first adoption of a merit A. 18 system for the City of Phenix City. 19 And then since then, periodically, the 20 council has adopted amendments or additions to the 21 22 merit system rules and regulations? A. A very few times. 23 But one of those very few times was the one 0. 24 that is significant in this case, which is ordinance 2.5

number 2006-13 that apparently was adopted by the city council on April 18, 2006. Let me show you that. Take your time to read that. You are familiar with that, Mr. Roberts?

A. Right, I am.

- Q. And, in essence, that was the ordinance that extended the probationary period from a year to 18 months for the fire department, police department, and code enforcement officers, correct?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. And this is the subject, among others, that apparently Mr. Davis spoke to Mayor Hardin about on the telephone, correct? As far as you know?
- A. I don't know what he talked to the mayor about, sir.
- Q. You don't know that Mr. Davis was talking to the mayor about the opposition of his firefighter union members to this proposed ordinance?
 - A. I knew that.
- Q. Okay. Now, is it your position as the Rule 30(b)(6) witness and the city manager that Mr. Davis should have exhausted the chain of command or grievance procedures within the city before he voiced his opposition to this proposed ordinance?
 - A. Yes, sir.

- Q. Okay. I want to walk through that, because I want to be able to get my arms around this issue. Are you saying in response to that earlier question that Mr. Davis could have gone to his captain, first line officer in the fire department, and voiced his opposition to this proposed ordinance, and the captain could have given him relief in some way? He could have stopped the adoption of this ordinance by the council?
- A. I'm not going to say the captain could have stopped the adoption of the ordinance. The captain could have given him permission to go up his chain of command to where he could get to the proper people to whom he could talk to in regard to the possible adoption of any ordinance.
- Q. So then when the captain just moves it up the chain of command, Mr. Davis's opposition to this proposed ordinance, he would have gone to his batallion chief, I take it?
 - A. Yes. Yes, sir.

- Q. Could the batallion chief have given him any relief in preventing the council's adoption of this ordinance?
- A. No, sir. He would have to follow the chain completely, like I said in the earlier question.

- Q. So it would go up to the assistant chief and then up to Chief Hunter?
- A. Up to Chief Hunter. And then by the merit system, it would come to me, and then he could voice his command to the legislative portion of this government, which is city council.
 - Q. And you're the chief executive officer?
 - A. Yes, sir.

- Q. All right. Then if Mr. Davis had gone to Chief Hunter voicing, on behalf of his union members, the opposition to this proposed ordinance, are you aware of anything Chief Hunter, within his authority, could have done?
 - A. He could have directed them to us -- to me.
- Q. So, to you, that's all Chief Hunter could have done --
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. is just route it on to you? And then if he did that, and this concern about the adoption was routed on to you, is there anything you could have done, within your power as city manager, to have prevented or stopped the city council from adopting this ordinance?
- A. I could have got him a meeting with the city council and let them -- let him express their

opinion as it related to the issues around that particular ordinance.

- Q. Okay. So through the chain of command and through your position, it would just have to be routed on to the council?
 - A. That's correct.

- Q. Are you aware of any disruptive impact that Mr. Davis's conversation with Mayor Hardin opposing this proposed ordinance had, in fact, within the city's fire department?
 - A. Would you please explain that question?
- Q. Yes. Are you aware of any adverse consequences or serious disruptive impact that was produced by Mr. Davis talking to Mayor Hardin about the opposition to the ordinance?
- A. I am not privy to all fire department operations on a day-to-day basis per se. I do not know the repercussions.
- Q. Okay. Has Chief Hunter ever told you that as a result of Mr. Davis contacting the mayor about this proposed ordinance, it had a significant disruptive impact on fire department operations or efficiency?
- A. Chief Hunter advised that if the call violated their SOP or merit system.

follow.

Q.

-	
Common Common of the Common of	Q. But other than violating the SOP or merit
AND DESCRIPTION OF THE PARTY OF	system, did he ever discuss with you any actual
STREET, STREET	adverse impact that it had within the fire
	department's operations or efficiency?
	A. I do not recall and neither do I remember
TOTAL PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF	asking him were there any.
	Q. Okay. Let me switch gears for a moment and
	talk about the First Amendment right to free speech
	and free association under our United States
	Constitution. I take it based upon your extensive
	experience, particularly as the city manager for
	over six years, that you're aware of the right that
	all citizens, including public employees, have under
	our First Amendment to free speech and free
	association. Is that a valid statement?
	A. I'm aware of the First Amendment.
	Q. Are you aware that public employees also
	have a First Amendment right of free speech and free
	association?
	A. I understand they're covered by the First
	Amendment.
	Q. What is your understanding of that?
	A. They have restrictions that they have to

What are those?

The chain of command for one. They are a A. 1 paramilitary organization. 2 Any other restrictions? 0. 3 A. Not to my knowledge. 4 0. I think you told me you were a former 5 marine, right? 6 7 A. No, sir. Armv. What an insult that was, huh? I'm former 0. 8 U.S. Army as well. How long were you in the Army? 9 10 A. Forty-one years. 0. Forty-one? And what was your last rank 11 when you left? 12 Chief Warrant Officer IV. Α. 13 Let me invite your attention to Exhibit 17, 0. 14 Mr. Roberts, which is the letter from the 15 International Association of Firefighters' General 16 President Harold Schaitberger dated January 31, 17 2006, addressed to yourself with copies going to 18 Mayor Hardin and Chief Wallace Hunter. Do you 19 recall having received this letter from 20 21 Mr. Schaitberger a few days after its date? A . I do. 22 What did you do, if anything, when you 23 received this letter? Did you take any action or 24 speak to anyone? 25

A. I called both Chief Hunter and Chief Waters
and asked them the contents of what was going on.
Chief Waters advised that he would talk with
Mr. Davis.
Q. Anything else?
A. And then a letter was drafted and returned
back to the answering this letter.
Q. And you'll note again that Mr. Schaitberger
refers to certain legal principles and rights under
the First Amendment and cites actual court
decisions. You see where it says that generally?
A. I do.
Q. Did that prompt you to go to the city
attorney and discuss this letter and its contents
with the city attorney?
A. I have discussed the contents with Attorney
Graham.
Q. But did you do so shortly after receiving
the letter; do you remember?
A. Within a short time frame, that's correct.
Q. Within a week or two?
A. Probably quicker than a week.
Q. And why did you do that; do you remember?
A. Anything that's of concern that deals with

law, then naturally I'm going to ask advice of my

city attorneys.

Q. I want to go through as I did with Chief Hunter when you were here in his deposition, some of the statements in this letter to see if you are aware of the principles. And the first one I want you to look at is on page 2 of Mr. Schaitberger's letter in the middle starting paragraph where it says, "it is well established that the First Amendment right of free association includes the right to belong to and to actively participate in labor organizations."

Do you see where it says that?

- A. I do.
- Q. Were you aware of that statement of law or principle even before you received

 Mr. Schaitberger's letter?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And were you aware of that principle for a number of years like when you first became city manager?
- A. A number of years prior to being city manager.
- Q. Fair enough. Next, it says, the very next sentence, "the right to discuss and inform people concerning the advantages and disadvantages of

1 unions and joining them is protected not only as 2 part of free speech but as part of free assembly." Do you see where it says that? 3 4 A. T do. 5 0. And were you aware of that principle of law 6 and protection even before you became city manager? 7 A. I was. 8 0. And were you aware of it during the time 9 that you have been city manager? A . 10 I am. 11 And are you aware that there's actually a 12 State of Alabama Code provision that gives the right 13 to firefighters to belong to a union and to make 14 proposals to their employers? 15 Α. T am. 16 Q. And have you been aware of that during the 17 entire time that you were city manager? 18 A. Yes, sir. 19 The next statement on page 2 of 20 Mr. Schaitberger's letter says in the beginning of 21 the last paragraph, "moreover, although there is no 22 right or entitlement to government employment, the 23 denial or deprivation of a job and related benefits

may not be based on one's exercise of First and

Fourteenth Amendment Rights."

24

Do you see where it says that? 1 A. I do. 2 3 0. Were you aware of that legal protection and right and principle of law during the time that you 4 have been city manager? 5 Yes, sir. A. 6 7 The next sentence says, "in this regard, 0. individuals have the First Amendment right to speak 8 out about matters of public concern without having 9 10 government employers retaliate against them for the exercise of their right to free speech." 11 Do you see where it says that, Mr. Roberts? 12 13 A. I do. 0. Were you aware of that statement of law or 14 principle during the entire time you have been city 15 manager? 16 A. I am. 17 Next sentence says, "retaliation by a 18 government employer against an individual who 19 20 exercises his First Amendment rights constitutes a 21 First Amendment violation." Do you see where it says that? 22 A. I do. 23 0. Were you aware of that principle or 24

protection under the law during the entire time

you've been city manager? 1 A. I am. 2 The next sentence says, "indeed, few 3 0. 4 subjects are of more public concern than the provision of basic fire and rescue services." 5 You see 'where it says that? 6 A. 7 I do. 0. Would you agree with that statement? 8 Α. It's one of the top public concerns, if not 9 the top. It ranks right up there. 10 So the citizens here in Phenix City would 11 0. 12 have a legitimate interest and a public concern about how they are furnished fire and rescue 13 services? 14 15 Α. Yes, sir. That's correct. 16 0. Okay. Thank you. Have you ever received 17 any subscriptions or read any articles or reports about First Amendment protections of free speech and 18 19 free association that are accorded public employees like firefighters? 20 21 A. I do not receive any articles on that, no. 22 Have you read articles or reports about First Amendment protections for public employees? 23

public service employees and the relationship to the

24

25

Α.

I have read articles as it relates to

First Amendment rights.

- Q. And is that during the time you have been city manager?
 - A. I couldn't answer that. I don't remember.
- Q. Okay. Have you ever gone to any educational programs or seminars where the subject of First Amendment rights of public employees has been reviewed?
- A. I have not attended any as city manager, no.
- Q. Now, a few weeks ago, Mr. McKoon forwarded to me a box of documents in response to our request for production of documents, and it was over 2000 pages. Very expensive, by the way. Did you have occasion to look through all of those documents before they were sent my way?
 - A. No, sir.
- Q. Do you know if anyone within the city did that?
- A. Every copy was made by either one of the secretaries in the back or Mr. McKoon's secretary, so someone saw every article.
 - Q. Were those copies made here in City Hall?
 - A. Yes, it was.
 - Q. Let me ask you this. With regard to the

decision and implementation of the decision to discharge Mr. Davis in April 2006, did you give your prior concurrence or approval to Chief Hunter to implement that discharge decision?

- A. I was notified of the termination. The department heads are given that authority. As the city manager, there again, I sat with the Personnel Review Board as stated in my prior deposition, I think. You know, I didn't sign the termination notice due to the fact that I eventually have to sign a letter if, in fact, the termination is upheld.
- Q. Well, I'm not sure that was really responsive to my question. I'm really focusing on whether or not you gave the OK, your approval as city manager, to Chief Hunter before the decision to discharge Mr. Davis was implemented?
 - A. You could say that, yes.
- Q. Now, do you recall filing an affidavit earlier in this lawsuit?
 - A. I do.

- Q. Who typed that affidavit up?
- A. It was typed up at Mr. McKoon's office.
- Q. And who prepared the language in that affidavit?

```
Mr. McKoon asked me a series of questions
          A.
 7
      and then he put it together in the letter.
 2
               MR. WOODLEY: All right. I don't have any
 3
          further questions. Thank you, Mr. Roberts.
 4
                           EXAMINATION
 5
     BY MR. MCKOON:
 6
              All right. I just have probably one
 7
          0.
     question. Mr. Roberts, at any time during your
 8
     participation in the termination of Mr. Davis, did
 9
10
     you have any feeling or belief that you were
     violating any of his First Amendment rights as you
11
     have been -- as they were defined and asked about by
12
13
     Mr. Woodley?
              No, sir, I didn't.
14
          A.
              MR. MCKOON:
                            That's all.
15
              MR. WOODLEY: We're done. Thank you.
16
17
     (The deposition concluded at 12:06 p.m.)
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```